Division of State Architect-Advisory

Inspector Committee-Status of Motions and Follow-Up Items

Active Items Only August 12, 2004

Item#Topic/ DescriptionMeetingNext ABTo DSAStaff ReportM = MotionDateMeetingStaffBack to AB

F= Follow-Up Item

05 - Inspector Committee

05.01.06a F Project Inspector Exam Process 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

(Recommendation 1, draft document): Dennis Shallenberger recommended that DSA

review and clarify exam acceptance criteria in the document.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Ronna Taylor

Comments: Comment noted. IC agenda item.

05.01.06b F Project Inspector Exam Process 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

(Recommendation 2): a. Have a panel interview qualified applicants for oral interviews; b. Develop a pool of architects willing to serve on interview panels or require letters of

recommendation letters from architects;

c. Consider composing engineer, school district, and inspector panels.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Ronna Taylor Comments: Comment noted. IC agenda item.

05.01.06c F Project Inspector Exam Process 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

(Recommendation 3): Art Ross supported the concept of allowing field engineers to veto

candidates.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Ronna Taylor

Comments: Comment noted.

05.01.09a F Proposed Disciplinary Process 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

Mr. Shallenberger recommended that committee members review the materials provided by Mr. Enzler regarding the proposed disciplinary process for Inspectors in order to discuss them

at the next meeting.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Jeff Enzler

Comments: Comment noted.

Item#Topic/ DescriptionMeetingNext ABTo DSAStaff ReportM = MotionDateMeetingStaffBack to AB

F= Follow-Up Item

05.01.09b F Proposed Disciplinary Process 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

Regarding the disciplinary process, Mr. Ward recommended defining the infractions in greater detail. Mr. Hall suggested the list of infractions be cited as examples rather than He also proposed that the progressive disciplinary steps should be "spelled out."

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Jeff Enzler

Comments: Comment noted. IC agenda item.

05.01.09c F Proposed Disciplinary Process 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

Mr. Shallenberger asked the committee to review the unabridged version of the draft

disciplinary process and discuss it at the next meeting.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Jeff Enzler

Comments: IC agenda item.

05.01.09d F Interpretive Regulations-IR A-8 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

Discussion on IR A-8 was deferred to the next meeting to allow time for committee members

to review the document.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Mary Ann

Comments: IC agenda item.

05.01.12a F Multi-Disciplinary Approach and Use 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

of CSI's Qualifications

Mr. Shallenberger proposed that the committee revisit the multi-disciplinary approach for

Field Oversight at the next meeting.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Jeff Enzler

Comments: IC agenda item.

05.01.12b F Multi-Disciplinary Approach and Use 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

of CSI's Qualifications

Mr. Enzler offered to provide committee members with a copy of the qualifications for the Construction Supervisor I position and Mr. Shallenberger suggested reviewing and discussing

the qualifications at the next meeting.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Jeff Enzler

Comments: IC agenda item.

05.01.14a F LEA Disciplinary Procedure 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

Mr. Hall proposed using the numbered list on page 2 which summarizes the current LEA process as examples of problems, clarifying that there may be other reasons for suspensions.

Mr. Hall suggested changing "for the following reasons" to "reasons such as."

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Eric France

Comments: Comments noted. IC agenda item.

Item#Topic/DescriptionMeetingNext ABTo DSAStaff ReportM = MotionDateMeetingStaffBack to AB

F= Follow-Up Item

05.01.14b F LEA Program 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

Mr. Shallenberger recommended defining progressive steps in discipline for the LEA program, noting minor infractions might warrant a letter, more serious problems might require a letter of reprimand, and flagrant abuses would be reasons for suspension.

Mr. Hall suggested changing the first sentence to indicate a range of discipline "up to and including suspension."

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Eric France

Comments: Comments noted. IC agenda item.

05.01.18 F Interpretive Regulations 8/12/2004 1/18/2005

It was discussed that DSA needs an IR to clarify nuclear testing or maximum density testing

criteria.

Status: Active Responsible Party: Elena/Dennis

Comments: Comments noted. DSA management currently undecided. Seeking additional

input from committee. IC agenda item.